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FROM THE EDITORS

Dear Colleagues,

The great Chicago columnist Mike Royko argued that instead of the official city motto, *Urbs in Horto*, or “City in a Garden,” a more fitting tagline for Chicago would be *Ubi Est Mea?*, “Where Is Mine?” But for most of the time since we last wrote you, our unofficial rallying cry has been *Ubi Est Vernum?* – “Where Is Spring?”

We can’t account for the whereabouts of the missing season, but we can finally put the Spring issue of the *CALL Bulletin* in your hands, if that helps any. This issue attests to the ability of CALL members to stay productive through even the most challenging conditions.

The Continuing Education Committee persevered through a weather-related postponement to present its Legal Citation Workshop in February; Heidi Kuehl and Scott Vanderlin’s wrap-up in this issue includes links to some of the resources that highlighted the session.

Our student columnist-in-residence, Lindsey Carpino, chimes in with a trio of features: an interview with George Pike, Director of the Priztker Legal Research Center at Northwestern Law; an interview with Lindsey’s UIUC GSLIS classmate Kara Dunn; and a discussion of hot library school topics such as social media outreach and social tagging.

Government Relations Committee Co-Chair Joe Mitzenmacher gets us up to speed on pending legislation in Springfield that could change the way some state government publications are disseminated—and no, he’s not talking about UELMA, there’s another bill too!

And Debbie Ginsberg has some valuable tips to prepare for the day when that web service that you’ve fallen in love with and don’t know how you ever lived without sends you a breakup message. (Don’t despair! There are plenty more fish in the cloud.)
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As I write, I’m sitting on an airplane flying home from a two-week trip to Thailand and Myanmar. Just in time to escape one last Chicago snow, I traveled to Southeast Asia (in its 90+ degree glory) to accompany a group of Northwestern Law students conducting field research as part of its International Team Project. During Spring Break, our small group of twenty conducted interviews with legal professionals, government officials, human rights NGOs, animal rights organizations, and financial officers in Bangkok and Yangon, and managed to do some relaxing and sightseeing on Inle Lake and in Bagan along the way. I am so lucky to have had this trip of a lifetime. As if things could not get better, the Chicago forecast is for temperatures approaching 50 degrees by the time I land. Dare I say that spring is finally here?

Congratulations to the newly elected members to the CALL 2014-2015 Executive Board!

Vice President/President-Elect:
Julie Pabarja, DLA Piper, LLP

Treasurer:
Stephanie Crawford, Schiff Hardin, LLP

Director:
Robert Martin, Chicago-Kent College of Law

Thanks so much to Debbie Ginsberg, Valerie Kropf, and Jesse Bowman for your willingness to serve the Association, and thanks to Juli Jackson and the Nominations and Elections Committee for putting together such an excellent slate of candidates and running a smooth election.

I’m happy to announce that since the last Bulletin was published, the CALL Board voted to approve the formation of the Mentorship and Leadership Development Committee! I have been extremely lucky to find several invaluable mentors through CALL, so I am very excited about this new committee, which would not exist but for the efforts of the Mentorship Task Force: Jamie Sommer, Julie Pabarja, and Eugene Giudice. Building upon the ideas brainstormed during our August 2013 CALL Leadership Training, this superstar team developed a list of charges that will guide the new committee to (1) welcome on board and encourage involvement of new CALL members, (2) mentor new law librarians, and (3) develop leadership skills for all mentors. This new committee will officially begin its work with the start of the 2014/2015 term. If you are interested in joining, please volunteer when Margaret puts out the call next summer! I cannot thank Jamie, Julie, and Eugene enough for all their hard work and initiative in proposing the Mentorship and Leadership Development Committee.

After a couple of postponements due to the extreme weather, our Continuing Education Committee’s Legal Citation Workshop on February 5th was a huge hit. Panelists Heidi Kuehl, Clare Willis, and Phillip Johnson cleared up some common mistakes and misconceptions about legal citation. The rapid changes in information formats and citation formats made this session a must-attend for anyone who deals with citations. The Continuing Education committee has come up with some stellar ideas for events this year; I can’t wait to see what they come up with next.

As always, thanks to Kevin McClure and Lyonette Louis-Jacques for all your hard work in putting together the Bulletin. It’s been so wonderful to read the new regular columns and contributions by new members. And thanks to the CALL Board and committee chairs for all your hard work and time this term.

Maribel Nash
CALL President, 2013-2014
CALL EXECUTIVE BOARD MINUTES
By Clare Willis, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law
cwillis@kentlaw.iit.edu

Complete, up-to-date CALL Board meeting minutes are available on the CALL website.

2013-2014 CALL EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING
AALL Headquarters, 105 W. Adams St., Suite 3300 (enter on Clark St.)
December 10, 2013 9:00 a.m.

Board Members Present: Margaret Schilt, Pam Cipkowski, Maribel Nash, Clare Willis, Jamie Sommer, Barry Herbert

Board Members Absent: JoAnn Hounshell

Summary:
Treasurer’s report (Section IV):
1. Harris Bank Balance as of November 30, 2013: $29,184.50
2. Net Income, November 30, 2013: $290.81
3. Membership numbers as of November 30, 2013: 272 (30 new members, 242 renewals)

Significant Actions:
Policy: The Board voted to create a Mentorship and Leadership Development Committee.

2013-2014 CALL EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING
AALL Headquarters, 105 W. Adams St., Suite 3300 (enter on Clark St.)
January 14, 2014 9:00 a.m.

Board Members Present: Pam Cipkowski, Barry Herbert, JoAnn Hounshell, Maribel Nash, Margaret Schilt, Jamie Sommer, Clare Willis

Board Members Absent: (none)

Summary:
Treasurer’s report (Section IV):
2. Net Income, January 2014: ($889.00)
3. Membership numbers as of January 31, 2014: 276 (34 new members, 242 renewals)

Significant Actions:
(pnone)

Editors’ Letter cont’d from p. 2

What’s going on in your neck of the woods? If reading about the ideas, plans, trials, and tribulations of your colleagues inspires you to write about some of the things that have been on your plate and on your mind, please get in touch with us. We know you have more to share than you’re letting on!

In the meantime, please enjoy the Spring issue.
CALL held its February Business Meeting on the 27th at Ditka’s Restaurant. President Maribel Nash welcomed and introduced several new members: Linda Butterfield, Kara Dunn, and Dominik Szymansk. Vice-President/President-Elect Margaret Schilt introduced the meeting’s sponsor, Wolters Kluwer Law & Business, and two representatives: Jon Crispin and Melissa Mathews. Mr. Crispin said that he appreciates the opportunity to gather feedback to take back to Wolters Kluwer’s product managers. He mentioned their daily reporting suite in different practice areas and highlighted their Health Reform Knowledge Center, a resource that surveys the changing healthcare environment.

Margaret then introduced our speaker, Alison Siegler, University of Chicago Law School Associate Clinical Professor of Law and Director for the Federal Criminal Justice Clinic. Margaret gave Prof. Siegler’s background noting that Prof. Siegler received her undergraduate and law degrees from Yale University and an LLM degree from Georgetown Law, worked as a staff attorney with the Federal Defender Program in Chicago, and founded the Federal Criminal Justice Clinic at the University of Chicago. Margaret explained that the clinic is the nation’s only legal clinic solely devoted to representing indigent defendants charged with federal felonies. Prof. Siegler spoke to the members present about her experience in 2012 with her clinic collaborating on an amicus brief in the case of Alleyne v. United States, 133 S. Ct. 2151 (2013), on appeal to the United States Supreme Court.

Prof. Siegler began her talk by asking the members present to imagine sitting in the gallery of the United States Supreme Court, feet away from Sotomayor and close enough to touch New York Times Supreme Court correspondent Adam Liptak. The members were to imagine that Chief Justice Roberts asked an attorney a question about constitutional issues and in response, “the attorney cites your own brief.” She explained that the journey she took with the students in her clinic took her to just that place.

Prof. Siegler briefly explained her clinic and how it started. She said that she started the clinic with the goals of improving the quality of representation and achieving justice for poor people in federal criminal justice system, especially poor people of color and training law students to be good lawyers and advocates.

Prof. Siegler then told the story of how she and her students got involved in the Supreme Court case. She said that she was on her way to a wedding of a college roommate in October of 2012 when she got an email stating that the U.S. Supreme Court granted certiorari in Alleyne on the issue of whether Harris v. United States, 536 U.S. 545 (2002), should be overruled. She said that this was huge news in the federal sentencing world because Harris was a fairly new case that was “terrible” for criminal defendants, but was then considered immune from reconsideration.

Prof. Siegler then provided some background on the legal issues involved. First, Prof. Siegler explained that mandatory minimums are statutory provisions that require a defendant to automatically serve a certain amount of prison time. She gave an example from a federal gun statute which provides that anyone who possesses or uses a gun in the course of a drug crime or crime of violence is subject to certain minimum sentences for simple possession of a firearm, brandishing the firearm, and actually firing the weapon. She noted that 80% of the mandatory minimums imposed in the federal penal system are in drug cases. Prof. Siegler opined that the problems with mandatory minimums are “ legion.” She asserted that the minimums are problematic because they give the same sentence regardless of any extraneous circumstances and because the minimums transfer power from judges to prosecutors. Prof. Siegler further argued that because only 6% of those sentenced to mandatory minimums in 2012 were high-level offenders, the mandatory minimums do not make the public safer and are not worth the high cost of incarcerating so many low-level offenders.

Second, Prof. Siegler explained the Apprendi and Harris cases, the precedents leading up to Alleyne. In Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), Prof. Siegler explained, the Court considered statutory maximum penal-
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ties and held that any fact that increases a defendant’s punishment above the maximum must be charged in an indictment, proved to a jury at trial, and proved beyond a reasonable doubt. Prof. Siegler next explained that in Harris the question before the court was whether Apprendi’s rule for mandatory maximums applies to mandatory minimums. The court held five to four that the Apprendi rule did not apply to minimums.

Third, Prof. Siegler returned to Alleyne and how she got involved. She said that in Alleyne, Allen Alleyne and an accomplice, who was not actually present at trial, were charged with a robbery. She explained that the prosecutors argued that the accomplice brandished a gun and prosecutors asked the jury to make finding against Alleyne based on accomplice liability. Prof. Siegler said that the jury acquitted Alleyne of brandishing, but the prosecutor asked the judge to disregard the jury. Prof. Siegler noted that the judge concluded, albeit reluctantly, that the accomplice brandished a gun, thereby forcing himself to impose a seven year mandatory minimum on Alleyne in addition to the sentence for the robbery. Prof. Siegler explained that Alleyne’s federal defenders appealed his case, lost the appeal based on Harris, and petitioned to the Supreme Court.

Prof. Siegler said that when the grant of certiorari in Alleyne came out her husband, who is also an attorney suggested that she write an amicus brief. Prof. Siegler acknowledged that she had no idea how big of an undertaking writing an amicus brief would be. She said that her first task was to try to show Alleyne’s attorneys that she had a unique viewpoint to add for the court’s consideration. She expressed to his attorneys that it was important for someone to convey to the Court how Alleyne will affect drug cases because most mandatory minimum cases in the federal system are drug cases and because some courts of appeal did not follow Harris in drug cases, thus causing a circuit split. Prof. Siegler explained that the Supreme Court does not like circuit splits. Prof. Siegler said that Alleyne’s attorneys ultimately agreed to let her write an amicus brief. Prof. Siegler then explained that the other hurdle to writing an amicus brief is to find a client on whose behalf the brief is written. She said that the Sentencing Project and the American Civil Liberties Union became clients. Prof. Siegler said that this “added a lot of cooks to a crowded kitchen,” because she had to get approval from three people at the Sentencing Project and one person at the ACLU on everything that was written.

Once they started writing, Prof. Siegler said she and two clinic students worked on the “undue burden” section of the brief. She said that the section argued that overturning Harris would not be a burden on prosecutors because prosecutors routinely charge and prove quantity even in circuits that follow Harris. Prof. Siegler noted that there is no database that shows you how drug quantity is charged and proved in every district court. Thus, she said, she and her students, with the help of Margaret Schilt, painstakingly searched Westlaw and PACER for drug cases and reviewed the indictment, jury instructions, and verdict forms in those cases. Prof. Siegler remarked that Margaret was “instrumental” to their effort and it would not have come together without her.

Prof. Siegler then explained another piece of research that Margaret led. She said that they researched statistics into drug cases in the previous year and concluded that 38% of the federal drug prosecutions happened in circuits that required quantity to be charged and proved and an additional 16% happened in circuits that did not require that procedure. Thus, Prof. Siegler concluded, at least 54% of cases already followed the rule Alleyne’s attorneys were asking the Court to adopt.

Prof. Siegler then explained the editing and collaboration process with all of the different “cooks” and remarked that it is “impossible to describe how much time it took [and] how much [she] underestimated it would take.” She concluded her retelling of the writing process by revealing that the detailed process she just described took place in just under seven weeks.

Prof. Siegler then told the members about the oral argument. In response to a question from Justice Roberts, Prof. Siegler said, Alleyne’s attorney cited Prof. Siegler’s brief to answer that the Harris rule was unworkable in drug cases. She said that Alleyne’s attorney told the court that prosecutors were already charging and proving quantity. She said that the argument about drug cases was ultimately long enough to take up six pages of the oral argument transcript. Prof. added that hers was the only amicus brief mentioned at oral argument.

Prof. Siegler said that on June 17, 2013, the court held for Alleyne and overruled Harris by a five to four vote, a holding that applies to gun and drug cases.

In conclusion, Prof. Siegler said that her story is meant to show the power of research, information and tenacity. She asked the members present to remember that the work that we do can change the law and change the world.
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Prof. Siegler then took questions from the audience. One member asked whether prosecutors have been accepting of the ruling. Prof. Siegler answered that prosecutors did not want this rule, but that they have generally accepted it. Prof. Siegler further noted that Attorney General Eric Holder has taken Alleyne and tried to use it to change the prosecution of drug crimes and how mandatory minimums are applied in an attempt to reduce the number of low level people charged.

Maribel thanked the speaker for sharing her story and for the important work that she does.

Several CALL committees and groups had announcements. Jamie Sommer spoke on behalf of the Mentorship Task Force to announce that the Board recently formed a new committee, the Mentorship & Leadership Development Committee. She explained that at last August’s leadership training, the Board members and committee chairs present brainstormed about how to foster the next generation of CALL leaders. Jamie said that Maribel asked Jamie Sommer, Eugene Giudice, and Julie Pabarja to form the Task Force in order to follow through on the good ideas that came out of the session. Jamie said that the Task Force looked into everything that committees were already doing and decided to recommend forming a committee to coordinate all of those activities. She said that the new committee is charged with the onboarding of new members, mentorship for new librarians, and developing leadership skills for all members regardless of the stage of their career. Jamie exhorted the members assembled to volunteer for this committee or think about how their committee can help.

Juli Jackson spoke on behalf of the Nominations and Elections Committee. She noted that 41% of eligible voters have voted in the CALL election and encouraged every member present to vote. She reminded the members to email her if they do not have the information to cast a vote.

JoAnn Hounshell spoke on behalf of the Grants and Awards Committee. She noted that grant funding is available for all types of continuing education, including the annual meeting. She reminded the members that anyone who has not received a CALL grant in the past three years is eligible. She said that applications are due by March 31st and pointed members to the “Awards and Grants” area of the CALL website for more information.

JoAnn then noted that it is time to recognize members who have made a contribution by taking nominations for the CALL awards. JoAnn noted that there is a new form that must accompany nominations. She emphasized that members can find information about the awards selection criteria and the form on the CALL website under “Awards and Grants.”

Finally, Valerie Kropf spoke on behalf of the Community Service Committee to thank the members for their monetary and food donations to the Greater Chicago Food Depository.

Maribel thanked all committees for their work and announced that the next CALL business meeting will be on May 15, 2014 at Maggiano’s.

Maribel and Margaret drew the door prize and thanked LexisNexis for sponsoring the prize. Bob Winger from McGuire Woods and Jamie Stewart from Chapman and Cutler won the drawing.

The meeting adjourned at 1:21pm.
Each May, CALL recognizes individuals and institutions that have made significant contributions to the Association and profession. This year CALL honorees represent the best of what librarianship brings to the legal community. The following awards were presented at the May 15 CALL Business Meeting.

**Award for Outstanding In-House Publication Honorees:**

**John Marshall School of Law Louis L. Biro Law Library and Technology Services Department**

The Library and Technology Services Department of the John Marshall School of Law have been honored for two publications that are useful, creative, well designed and fulfill their intended purpose. The JMLS Library & Technology Times, a monthly print newsletter keeps faculty and students informed of cool new tools, events in the library and library resources. The newsletter contains colorful graphics and screen shots that does not distract from the information.

The Library & Technology Guide for Students is a one-stop guide to the most frequently asked questions about library services and technology. It is often difficult to get the attention of students and making them aware of library resources and services in a memorable way is a constant challenge. John Marshall School of Law’s Library and Technology Services Department succeeded in providing their students with a quick-start guide to their services.

**Scott Vanderlin, Research Librarian at Chicago-Kent College of Law**

Scott was honored for his creation of the Chicago-Kent College of Law Library’s new video tour. He developed a video that balances the needs of the library user and the librarian. The video engages the user with a clean professional look and provides useful information. At the same time, the creative format of the video makes it easy for librarians to edit.

Even though the video consists of a series of photographs and no actual moving images, Scott zoomed and panned over the pictures to give the look of movement, which keeps the viewer engaged. The use of color along with black and white photographs of people and places keeps the video visually interesting. The music, obtained with a librarian-friendly Creative Commons license, provides a professional touch to the video. An honorable mention goes to Kim Koppen, Library Technology Specialist, for her narration of the video.

**Agnes and Harvey Reid Award for Outstanding Contributions to Law Librarianship Honoree:**

**Keith Ann Stiverson**

Keith Ann’s passion is government relations and public policy. She has served as chair of the CALL Public Affairs Committee (now the Government Relations Committee), the AALL Government Relations Committee and the AALL Copyright Committee, as well as, serving on the AALL Task Force on the Federal Depository Library Program, and the AALL Government Policy Advisory Group.

Keith Ann has diligently been advocating for the adoption of the Uniform Electronic Legal Materials Act (UELMA). Early on she recognized the importance of providing the public with permanent, authenticated access to online legal information and has served as the AALL Observer to the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws Drafting Committee on UELMA. On March 6, UELMA unanimously passed the Illinois Senate and has now been sent to the full Illinois House for a vote.

Keith Ann has demonstrated her commitment to the future of our profession as an adjunct professor at Dominican University’s library information science program and serving as a mentor to countless new librarians. In these uncertain times for law librarians, her peers acknowledged Keith Ann’s service to the profession and her vision for the future by electing her to the office of AALL Vice President/President-Elect.

*continued on p. 9*
CALL and the Chicago academic law library community became the beneficiaries of Julia’s expertise and experience when she joined the Loyola University School of Law Library in 1998. Throughout her career, Julia has developed a strong record of participation in law librarianship associations and their activities. Julia served on the CALL Board of Directors from 2003 to 2005, and was also a member of the Program Committee, the Public Relations Committee, and the Grants and Awards Committee. As a member of AALL for the past 27 years, Julia has served in a number of leadership roles within the Association including serving on the Awards Committee and in the Academic Law Libraries Special Interest Section. She has made significant contributions in the development of future law librarians and CALL members. Julia continues to generously mentor experienced, as well as, new law librarians. That generosity, in addition to her many accomplishments is wonderful evidence of her service to our Association and our profession.

In February, Eloise retired from the Northwestern University School of Law’s Pritzker Legal Research Center after 25 years of service and active involvement in CALL, AALL, LLMC and LIPA.

Eloise has been a mentor to many librarians throughout her career; and many of her mentees attended the CALL luncheon when she was honored. She has always recognized the importance of maintaining strong connections between law libraries and the greater legal profession. Eloise encouraged her colleagues to contribute to our changing profession through collaboration and active involvement in professional organizations. She has shared her wealth of knowledge in librarianship through her involvement in AALL, ALA and ILA sections, serving on committees and executive boards in each of these professional organizations. She has served as editor of Illinois Libraries, as a contributor to the Cataloging & Classification Quarterly, and has been a frequent guest lecturer on technical services, cataloging, and library management and LEEP program adjunct faculty member at the Graduate School of Library and Information Science at the University of Illinois. For the last two decades, Eloise has had a strong national presence and has provided an elite level of professionalism evidenced by her management positions, scholarly writing and academic teaching record.
The CALL election of officers for the 2014-2015 year and beyond was held from Tuesday, February 18th through Friday, March 14th. Of the 266 members eligible to vote, 144 members elected the following candidates:

The following candidates were elected:

- **Deborah Ginsberg**
- **Valerie Kropf**
- **Jesse Bowman**

Deborah Ginsberg, Valerie Kropf, and Jesse Bowman were wonderful candidates and were exceptionally gracious when informed of the results! Thank you so much to all of you for agreeing to run for a CALL office. I hope to see your names of the ballot again very soon!

I would also like to thank the Nominations and Elections Committee – Denise Glynn, Ramsey Donnell, Joan Ogden, Kathellen Bruner, Lenore Glanz, Lyonette Louis-Jacques, and Susan Retzer. A very special thank you to Joan Ogden – she is a wealth of information and frankly the knowledge keeper of all things related to the CALL election. We would not have had such a successful election without her wonderful expertise.

This year we had a 54.1% voting rate. In years past the rates have been:

- 54.7% in 2012/2013
- 45.4% in 2011/2012
- 46.5% in 2010/2011
- 51.9% in 2009/2010
- 47.8% in 2008/2009

Hopefully next year we can have an unprecedented turn-out to choose our new Board members from a wonderful slate of dedicated CALL members!
The Chicago-Kent Library Technology Group runs many kinds of projects. Keeping track of what’s going on in individual projects can be challenging. We needed a cloud-based service for project management, something that could:

- Track projects and tasks
- Let us assign tasks to individual workers
- Comment on tasks when we had questions or more information

We had tried a number of different solutions, everything from spreadsheets to Google Sites, but nothing worked quite the way we wanted. We were very happy when we found Do.com. The interface was intuitive. We could log in from our Google accounts. We could easily add tasks and projects using the web interface or even email. Commenting was a breeze. It was great! Not to mention free.

Until one day we logged in and saw the dreaded “we’re closing” message:

Do.com was a Salesforce.com product. A lot of companies used it every day. But for whatever reason, it was no longer viable. Do.com was done.

So we added Do.com to our list of services which have closed this year; services like Xtranormal and Google Reader, just to name a couple.

So, what do you do when your favorite web service shuts down? There are four basic steps that can help:

1. Back up your data – at least, what you can. Note that you may not be able to back up everything (e.g. Google Reader didn’t provide an easy way to back up all read articles).
2. Know your import/export options. You may be able to export a lot of data, but that doesn’t mean that you will be able import that same data into other services.
3. Read (and heed) all warnings. Most services will give you plenty of notice. Pay attention to the shutdown dates. Note that services can shut down immediately.
4. Delete your account if you can. This can be particularly important if the account has any kind of public presence (e.g. a social networking site). Of course, this assumes you were able to export all of your data.

So how can you protect yourself against the loss of cloud-based services? There are a few factors that you can keep in balance when using the cloud for class or just to manage things on the backend:

- Know who provides the service. It’s not a matter of big versus small companies, but keeping track of what companies are doing. Services provided
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MEET NEW CALL MEMBER GEORGE PIKE
By Lindsey Ann Carpino, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Graduate School of Library and Information Science
lcarpin2@illinois.edu

George Pike began his new position as Director of the Pritzker Legal Research Center and Senior Lecturer at the Northwestern University School of Law in November, coming to Northwestern after nine years as Director of the Law Library at the University of Pittsburgh School of Law. CALL Bulletin Committee member Lindsey Carpino caught up with George to find out how he’s settling in.

LC: What are some new things that you’ve learned in your new position?

GP: I have learned more about the quality of the students we have here at Northwestern. The students have large expectations, and I am impressed at how our faculty is able to serve the needs of our students and meet those high expectations. I am also becoming familiar with resources I had never been exposed to before. Also, I am impressed with some of our collections, including our international law resources.

LC: What do you love most about the job of being a law library director?

GP: Being a law library director is all the fun of law without the clients. You get to participate in a wider variety of activities. I always say that being a director is comprised of 50 percent instruction, 50 percent reference, and 50 percent administration.

LC: What’s been the most surprising thing about Chicago for you?

GP: The winters have been interesting! Even though the winters have been interesting, I am more concerned about the heat and humidity to come. What really surprises me about Chicago is how livable the city is despite how large it is. It is not gritty like other larger cities can be. Chicago is really a great city and a surprisingly easy adjustment.

LC: How do you get involved with your professional peers?

GP: Being a director allows you to have a different connection with your peers since there are fewer of us. It also allows for more interaction with other library directors on a daily basis for advice and guidance. I also get together with the members of the Committee on Institutional Cooperation (CIC), which consists of the Big Ten law schools, as well as with the members of the top 15 law schools and CLAS.

LC: Why did you join CALL?

GP: I have always been involved at various degrees in the local AALL chapters. I am interested in getting to know the Chicago area librarians better. Also, I would like to learn more about the Chicago legal world and be able to tap into that resource in the future. I hope to form friendships as well as professional resources. I hope to call upon others for help and hope they would feel comfortable calling me as well.

LC: Why would you encourage others to get involved in professional organizations?

GP: I think joining a professional organization is a great resource wherever you are in your library career. Joining a professional organization might mean mentorship for some. It might mean forming relationships, both professional and friendships. Also, it might mean gaining resources that will be mutually beneficial.

LC: What’s the most important thing you learned and applied on a daily basis from library school?

GP: One of the most important things I learned in library school was how to think like a manager. I also learned the bigger picture of how libraries operate through learning processes such as cataloging. Also, access points are another important concept from library school that I still teach to my students. I always encourage my students to start with the information they do know and then go from there when using a database.
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MEET NEW CALL MEMBER KARA DUNN
By Lindsey Ann Carpino, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Graduate School of Library and Information Science
lcarpin2@illinois.edu

New CALL member, Kara Dunn, is a graduate student in the Graduate School of Library and Information Science (GSLIS) at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (UIUC), and a member of the CALL Bulletin Committee. Fellow Bulletin Committee member, Lindsey, recently chatted with her so we could all get to know her a little better.

LC: How do you like library school so far?
KD: I am really enjoying library school. It is something I have wanted to do for a long time, so it’s exciting to finally be working towards the degree. I like that the classes are more interactive than law school, and focus more on hands-on learning through projects and exercises.

LC: What made you want to become a law librarian?
KD: I loved my advanced legal research class and the interactions I had with law librarians in law school. The work they did seemed so interesting, and they seemed to be happier in their careers than any of the practicing lawyers that I met. Working as a judicial law clerk also helped me realize that I really enjoy the legal research and writing process, but have no interest in practicing law.

LC: Why did you join CALL?
KD: While researching MLIS programs, I was looking for more information about law librarianship in Chicago and came across CALL. I reached out to several CALL members and they were more than willing to offer help and advice, and to introduce me to other law librarians in the community. I decided to join CALL because it seemed like a very friendly and welcoming organization where I could become an active participant, and not just another name on an email list.

LC: What is one surprising thing about library school?
KD: There are so many more aspects to library and information science than I imagined, which is exciting. It is a much more diverse field than most people realize. As a student in the LEEP program at the University of Illinois, I’ve also been surprised by how well the distance learning technology simulates an in-class experience.

LC: What classes are you looking forward to taking?
KD: I am especially looking forward to taking some classes on librarianship and technology. I don’t have any background in technology, and it seems like such an important and growing part of the field. I’m also looking forward to taking classes on instruction and reference services, because I hope to eventually work in an academic law library and those classes seem like they will be directly applicable to that type of work.

LC: What do you do outside of class?
KD: I like to travel as much as possible (my husband and I recently took a fantastic trip through Slovenia, Croatia, and Bosnia), cook, and read detective novels. Now that I’m a fulltime student, I also hope to spend more time exploring the museums and libraries in Chicago.

Meets New CALL Member George Pike cont’d from p. 12

LC: Any advice for library students just beginning their careers?
GP: I would tell library students to get as much breadth as they can. I would encourage them to look at the bigger picture. Also, do not ignore a library side that you feel you might not work in. As a reference librarian, you need to learn the technical and cataloging side. And I would tell technical services librarians that they should learn the reference side. Finally, I would advise library students to determine their long-term goals at the outset of their careers.
A REVIEW OF THE CALL CONTINUING EDUCATION EVENT: LEGAL CITATION WORKSHOP
By Heidi Kuehl, Pritzker Legal Research Center, Northwestern University School of Law
h-kuehl@law.northwestern.edu
and Scott Vanderlin, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law
svanderlin@kentlaw.iit.edu

On Wednesday, February 5th, a sizeable group of law librarians, paralegals, and other CALL members joined the CALL Continuing Education Committee for a “Legal Citation Workshop.” Clare Willis, Research Librarian and resident Bluebook expert at the Chicago-Kent Law Library, offered useful tips on navigating the Bluebook and locating the most helpful rules on common US citations. She also addressed the Internet citation rules, the white pages, the blue pages, and the index while giving helpful examples. Be sure to consult her helpful guide to Bluebook citation, which was used as a resource in the citation workshop, at: http://uiuc.libguides.com/law-bluebook.


After the presentations, the attendees discussed nuances of the Bluebook, ALWD, and neutral citation rules, and had an open question and answer period that was enjoyed by all. Keep an eye out for other CALL Continuing Education events!

Do.ne, or What to Do When Your Favorite Service Disappears cont’d from p. 10

- Have a backup plan. No product lasts forever, so be prepared. Keep an eye on competing products. Consider open source alternatives, or even creating your own if you have the resources and skills. Regularly back up your cloud data if you can.

The Library Technology Group has now moved on to a new online task/project tracker, but we know that some day (hopefully not soon), we’ll have to replace this service, too. We hope we won’t be surprised again, but no matter what happens, we have a plan in place.

continued on p. 17
Join us for the MAALL Annual Meeting in Chicago
October 5-7, 2014

Rapid change is a standard part of the law librarian’s professional life. The Annual Meeting of the Mid-America Association of Law Libraries will offer programming and networking opportunities to help you thrive amid an evolving legal and technological landscape.

We invite you to join us for an informative and interactive conference at the MAALL member rate.

Stay in touch!
Conference site: http://www.aallnet.org/chapter/maall/newsite/annualmtg/
MAALL on Twitter: https://twitter.com/MAALLibraries
Conference hashtag: #MAALL14

MAALL Annual Meeting in Chicago—October 5-7, 2014
When beginning to explore the topics that library students were discussing at the University of Illinois Graduate School of Library and Information Science (GSLIS), I decided to seek student feedback through outlets such as the GSLIS open forum and Facebook page. While there are a vast array of courses to take and topics to explore at GSLIS, I began to notice a common theme throughout the feedback I received, and throughout my own personal class experience. GSLIS places a focus on the future of libraries and librarians and keeping relevant in this ever-changing world of technology. Not only do librarians need to stay true to steadfast values such as providing service, but they also need to evolve with emerging technologies.

I recently completed a Reference course at GSLIS. I was highly interested in taking this course as I aspire to become a Reference Librarian. During this course, the overall theme focused on how libraries can no longer wait for users to come to the library and seek out a service; instead, libraries need to go to their users by providing them with a service. What does this mean? Librarians need to provide services that engage their users from outside of the library walls. Librarians can do this by marketing through Twitter, Pinterest, Facebook, LinkedIn, Tumblr, Instagram and many more social media outlets. In addition, librarians need to provide services that are useful to their users. Today, many users are seeking immediate assistance with their research quandaries through mediums such as e-mail, chat, text and phone.

Another important topic that has been discussed throughout a majority of my courses is social tagging. Social tagging, also known as folksonomy, is where users develop descriptive tags, categorize information and create annotations and commentary collaboratively. Some examples of online communities using social tagging are: Facebook, Flickr and Goodreads. This allows users to come together as a community and organize information according to their own personal interests. Not only are social media outlets using social tagging, but so are university databases. One of the GSLIS databases—Library and Information Science Abstracts (LISA)—is encouraging user tagging of their resources. Currently, my research shows that a majority of GSLIS users have not taken advantage of this feature. However, I know many GSLIS users do use social tagging in their personal lives, such as to annotate pictures on Facebook or Instagram. Therefore, it will be interesting to see the crossover of how users respond to using social tagging in their professional, as well as their personal lives.

In the end, being a librarian requires a continuous adaptation to the needs of users. In the future, we will see how libraries continue to evolve to assist their users remotely. Also, we will see the effects of social tagging. As always, I am excited to become a member of this community, especially during this important and evolving time.
In Illinois, a version of the Uniform Electronic Legal Material Act (“UELMA”) is currently working its way through the 98th General Assembly (as Senate Bill 1941), but UELMA isn’t the only pending Illinois legislation that could affect the production and dissemination of government-produced legal information in electronic formats. In February, Illinois Senator Pat McGuire introduced Senate Bill 3288, which, if enacted, would make two key changes to the Illinois General Assembly Operations Act (25 ILCS 10/10, hereinafter “The Act”). These changes could lead to electronic publication and distribution of Illinois session laws, and would do so in a way that would help further UELMA’s goals of providing for authentication, preservation, and permanent accessibility of electronic information.

As it currently reads, Section 10(f) of The Act provides that “the Secretary of State shall prepare a printer’s copy for the ‘Session Laws of Illinois’” that sets out all Acts and Joint Resolutions, plus all Executive Orders of the Governor from the concluded session. The proposed amendment to Section 10(f) would allow this “printer’s copy” to be delivered “in such format as the Secretary of State and the Enrolling and Engrossing Departments [of the House and Senate] may agree.” If enacted, this revised language would open up the possibility that the printer’s copy could be produced and delivered in a non-print (i.e. electronic) format. The language of Section 10(f) also requires a statement on the title page of each volume of the session laws indicating that they are “[p]rinted by the authority of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois”. Because this requirement would continue in the revised version of Section 10(f), the amended provision would therefore support one of UELMA’s stated goals: ensuring authenticity of officially-designated information produced in an electronic format.

The other proposed change to the language of The Act would affect Section 10(g). Currently, Section 10(g) provides for distribution of bound volumes of Illinois Session Laws to several entities, including various libraries across the state. The revised language would allow for the possibility of electronic distribution of the bound volumes of state Session Laws “upon agreement”. By continuing to require that distribution of these electronic documents be made to multiple libraries, the revised version of Section 10(g) would help meet the preservation requirement of UELMA. In addition, having electronic copies available in multiple locations across the state would make the information more easily accessible to the public, and could help to ensure that it remains permanently accessible, thus accomplishing another of UELMA’s requirements.

Because Senate Bill 3288’s aims are consistent with the requirements and ends of UELMA, CALL’s Government Relations Committee will be keeping an eye on the bill as it proceeds through the General Assembly.

---

**Do.ne, or What to Do When Your Favorite Service Disappears** *cont’d from p. 14*

Learn more:

- Moving On When A Service You Love Shuts Down: How to Find A Replacement and Migrate Your Data
- What to do when your favorite site closes

*This post was adapted from an Ignite talk I gave to the Chicago Association of Law Librarians on November 5, 2013.*
AALL2go Pick of the Month

AALL’s Continuing Professional Education Committee presents the AALL2go pick of the month: So You Think You Can Teach: Keeping the Audience Awake and Learning Alive.

Legal research instruction can be interesting, engaging and fun! Five experienced and dynamic academic librarians present 10-minute mini-lessons, highlighting five different teaching tools to capture the audience’s attention. The mini-lessons cover such topics as secondary materials, cost-effective case law research, locating federal statutes using popular name, federal administrative materials, and D.C. materials. The teaching tools that are demonstrated include showing movie clips that connect a legal research concept with an example from pop culture; using imagery to create a personal legal research scenario; creating a screencast lesson (with Camtasia and PowerPoint); presenting an interesting or funny example or anecdote to engage the audience; and utilizing props and games to capture the audience’s attention and to encourage participation. The five different learning styles and the importance of teaching to those learning styles are also discussed.

The mini-lessons are followed by a Q&A session where the panelists discuss in-class assignments, research logs, textbooks, CALI lessons, and the importance of creating relatable research examples. Everyone from the beginner to the experienced legal research instructor is sure to discover innovative techniques that they can use to engage their audiences.

Find this and more free continuing education programs and webinars for AALL members on AALL2go!

CALL Meeting Schedule 2013-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Meetings</th>
<th>Executive Board Meetings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sept. 27, 2013 (Fri.)</td>
<td>• Aug. 13, 2013 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nov. 14, 2013 (Thurs.)</td>
<td>• Sept. 10, 2013 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Feb. 27, 2014 (Thurs.)</td>
<td>• Oct. 8, 2013 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• May 15, 2014 (Thurs.)</td>
<td>• Nov. 12, 2013 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Dec. 10, 2013 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Jan. 14, 2014 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Feb. 11, 2014 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mar. 11, 2014 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• April 8, 2014 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• May 13, 2014 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• June 10, 2014 (Tues.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Details will be posted as they become available. Dates subject to change.